

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,729	MORIMOTO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

(1) THOMAS A. MORRISON. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. George W. Neuner (Reg. No. 26,964). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Contacted Mr. Neuner (applicant's representative) on 4/11/2008 to get permission to add one reference numeral to Fig. 5 to clearly identify the recited top region of the stopper member, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Neuner approved this drawing change.

Applicant needs to send in a new drawing including the changes to avoid abandonment of this application.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Assent/Attorney's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

